FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
LIST OF PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS OF CITY OF BRENTWOOD	i
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1 - 2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3 - 5
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statements of Net Assets	6
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	7
Statements of Cash Flows	8
Notes to Financial Statements	9 - 21
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Funding Progress - Political Subdivision Pension Plan (unaudited)	22
Schedule of Funding Progress and Schedule of Employer Contributions - Other Post Employment Benefits (unaudited)	23
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Schedule of Revenues and Expenses - Budget and Actual	24
OTHER REPORT	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based On An Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	25 - 26

CITY OF BRENTWOOD LIST OF PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS JUNE 30, 2012

Elected:

Mayor
Vice Mayor
Rod Freeman
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Rhea E. Little, III
Commissioner
Regina R. Smithson

Appointed:

Michael W. Walker City Manager Kirk E. Bednar Assistant City Manager Roger A. Horner City Attorney City Recorder Deborah Hedgepath Carson K. Swinford **Finance Director** Karen W. Harper City Treasurer **Human Resource Director** Michael Worsham Police Chief Jeff Hughes **Brian Goss** Fire Chief Jeff Dobson Planning and Codes Director **Public Works Director** Jeff Donegan **Engineering Director** Mike Harris Chris Milton Water and Sewer Director Library Director Susan Earl Community Relations Director Linda Lynch David M. Bunt Parks and Recreation Director **Technology Director** John I. Allman, IV Laurie Jewett City Judge



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Honorable Mayor and Board of Commissioners Brentwood Emergency Communications District Brentwood, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets of the Brentwood Emergency Communications District (the "District"), a component unit of the City of Brentwood, Tennessee, as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to previously present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Brentwood Emergency Communications District as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 20, 2012 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audits.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5, the Schedule of Funding Progress - Political Subdivision Pension Plan on page 22, the Schedule of Funding Progress and Schedule of Employer Contributions - Other Post Employment Benefits on page 23, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that comprise the Brentwood Emergency Communications District's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Revenues and Expenses - Budget and Actual on page 24 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Revenues and Expenses - Budget to Actual is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that comprise the Brentwood Emergency Communications District's basic financial statements. The accompanying additional information on page i is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The information on page i has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express and opinion or provide any assurance on it.

GraffCPAS PLLC

Nashville, Tennessee December 20, 2012

Management's Discussion and Analysis

This section of the Brentwood Emergency Communications District's (the "District") annual financial report presents our discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. This section should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes, which follow this section.

The Brentwood Emergency Communications District is presented as a blended component unit within the proprietary funds of the City of Brentwood (the "City" or "primary government"), located in Williamson County, Tennessee. The District was authorized in September 2002 in accordance with §24-52 of the Brentwood Municipal Code, the Board of Commissioners of the City of Brentwood and pursuant to the Tennessee Emergency Communications District Law. The District provides for operation, maintenance, funding and enhancement of the City's existing emergency communications system. The costs of these services are funded by monthly telephone subscriber service fees.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the District's financial statements. The financial report includes financial statements, notes to the financial statements and other supplementary information. The statement of net assets presents information on the assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating. The statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets presents information on the revenues and expenses. The statement of cash flows presents the cash provided and used by operating activities as well as other cash sources and cash payments such as investment income, capital additions and transfers to or from the City.

Financial Highlights

District's Net Assets

	 2012	_	2011	 2010
Current Assets Capital Assets	\$ 996,478 1,314,814	\$	1,353,455 628,939	\$ 1,262,074 107,739
Total Assets	2,311,292		1,982,394	 1,369,813
Current Liabilities	 150,526		143,161	 106,806
Net Assets Invested in Capital Assets Unrestricted Net Assets	 1,314,814 845,952	2	628,939 1,210,294	 107,739 1,155,268
Net Assets	\$ 2,160,766	\$	1,839,233	\$ 1,263,007

District's Changes in Net Assets

		2012	 2011		2010
Operating Revenues Operating Costs and Expenses	\$	868,227 1,168,853	\$ 852,868 1,070,215	\$	638,731 953,957
Operating Loss		(300,626)	(217,347)		(315,226)
Interest Income		7,107	11,796		6,327
Contributions from the primary government		418,700	418,700		418,700
Miscellaneous Income		196,352	=		-
Tennessee Emergency Communications					
Board - grants and reimbursements			 363,077		10,000
Change in Net Assets	<u>\$</u>	321,533	\$ 576,226	<u>\$</u>	119,801

Operating and Nonoperating Revenues

The Brentwood Emergency Communications District total operating revenue was \$868,227 for the year ended June 30, 2012 (\$852,868 for the year ended June 30, 2011).

Subscriber fees amounted to \$574,033 and \$602,424 and shared wireless revenue amounted to \$86,283 and \$61,291, for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During 2012 the District had other income, excluding contributions from the primary government, of approximately \$203,000, resulting from interest income and miscellaneous income from a legal settlement of approximately \$196,000. See Note 11 of the financial statements for additional information on the legal settlement.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses of the District increased to \$1,168,853 for fiscal year 2012 compared with \$1,070,215 for fiscal year 2011.

Capital Expenditures

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the District purchased assets in the amount of \$824,091, primarily consisting of building improvements and communications equipment. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the District purchased assets in the amount of \$579,485 primarily consisting of building improvements and communications equipment. These FY 2011 and FY 2012 equipment purchases were needed to bring the current public safety communications system up to State standards for Next-Generation 911 requirements. Equipment purchases include an Uninterrupted Power Supply (\$159,000), a Backup Generator (\$199,000), a NG-911 compatible Controller (\$220,000) and Radio Console replacement (\$260,000). In addition, building improvements were needed to expand the dispatch center to accommodate the required new technology.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the District's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to:

City of Brentwood Attn: Finance Director

P. O. Box 788

Brentwood, Tennessee 37024-0788

E-mail: financedirector@brentwood-tn.org

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	2012	2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS Cash	\$ 881,068	\$ 1,246,993
Accounts receivable	115,410	106,462
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	996,478	1,353,455
CAPITAL ASSETS, net of accumulated depreciation	1,314,814	628,939
TOTAL ASSETS	2,311,292	1,982,394
LIABILITIES		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		26.501
Accounts payable	1,517 118,229	26,591 103,636
Accrued expenses Net amount due to primary government	30,780	103,030
That amount due to primary go to a service and the service and		·
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	150,526	143,161
COMMITMENTS		
NET ASSETS		
Invested in capital assets Unrestricted	1,314,814 845,952	628,939 1,210,294
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$ 2,160,766	\$ 1,839,233

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

		2012	8	2011
ODED ATINIC DEVENILIES				
OPERATING REVENUES Emergency telephone subscriber fees	\$	574,033	\$	602,424
Tennessee Emergency Communications Board - shared wireless charge	Ψ	86,283	Ψ	61,291
Tennessee Emergency Communications Board - operational funding		207,911		189,153
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES		868,227		852,868
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Salaries and wages		570,832		547,891
Employee benefits		262,032		222,534
Contracted services		86,264		111,087
Supplies and materials		101,615		120,385
Other charges		9,894		10,033
Depreciation		138,216		58,285
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES	_	1,168,853		1,070,215
OPERATING LOSS		(300,626)		(217,347)
NONOPERATING REVENUES				
Interest income		7,107		11,796
Contributions from primary government		418,700		418,700
Tennessee Emergency Communications Board - grants and reimbursements		-		363,077
Miscellaneous income	-	196,352		
TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES		622,159		793,573
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		321,533		576,226
NET ASSETS - BEGINNING OF YEAR		1,839,233		1,263,007
NET ASSETS - END OF YEAR	<u>\$</u>	2,160,766	<u>\$</u>	1,839,233

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	2012	2011	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Receipts from customers Payments to employees Payments to suppliers	\$ 859,279 (818,271) (205,001)	\$ 816,593 (753,052) (222,523)	
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(163,993)	(158,982)	
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES Miscellaneous income received Contributions from primary government	196,352 418,700	418,700	
NET CASH PROVIDED BY NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES	615,052	418,700	
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES Acquisition of capital assets State Emergency Communications Board - grants and reimbursements	(824,091)	(579,485) 363,077	
NET CASH USED IN CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(824,091)	(216,408)	
NET CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES Interest income	7,107	11,796	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(365,925)	55,106	
CASH - BEGINNING OF YEAR	1,246,993	1,191,887	
CASH - END OF YEAR	\$ 881,068	\$ 1,246,993	
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING LOSS TO NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Operating loss Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash used in	\$ (300,626)	\$ (217,347)	
operating activities: Depreciation	138,216	58,285	
(Increases) decreases in assets and increases (decreases) in liabilities: Accounts receivable Prepaid expenses Accounts payable Accrued expenses Net amount due to primary government	(8,948) - (25,074) 14,593 17,846	(36,875) 600 11,085 17,373 7,897	
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS	136,633	58,365	
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (163,993)	\$ (158,982)	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

The Brentwood Emergency Communications District of the City of Brentwood (the "District") was authorized by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Brentwood (the "City") on September 10, 2002, in accordance with §24-52 of the *Brentwood Municipal Code*, and the Tennessee Emergency Communications District Law. This authorization occurred after the citizens of the City of Brentwood approved by a majority vote in August 2002, the creation of a Brentwood Emergency Communications District. The purpose of the District is to provide for public health, safety and welfare through effective and efficient emergency communications services which will result in saving lives, preventing and mitigating injuries, reducing the destruction of property, and facilitating faster apprehension of criminals. Prior to authorization of the District, the City of Brentwood's General Fund reported all related costs for emergency communications activities and expenditures.

The service providers for land lines retain a three percent (3%) administrative collection fee for billing and collecting telephone service charges and remittances to the District. Subscriber fee revenues are reported net of these collection fees.

In addition, the City of Brentwood paid a communication service charge on behalf of the District to the service suppliers at a flat monthly recurring rate for one-party residence and business exchange access service within the geographic area. The monthly rate for both 2012 and 2011 was \$7,030 and is based on a charge of \$130 per one thousand access lines for the major service provider and \$110 per one thousand access lines for the other service suppliers.

Organization

The accompanying financial statements encompass the financial activities of the District, a component unit of the City of Brentwood, Tennessee, which is the principal reporting entity and primary government. Pursuant to T.C.A. §7-86-105(b)(7), the Board of Commissioners of the City of Brentwood is designated as the board of directors for the District, and all duly elected members of the Board of Commissioners serve as members of the District's board of directors, unless any member is removed pursuant to state law. The terms of the members of the Board of Commissioners run concurrently with their terms as members of the District's board of directors. Unless otherwise decided by majority vote of the members of the board of directors, the mayor shall serve as chairman and the vice-mayor as vice-chairman of the board of directors.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements of the District have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") is responsible for issuing pronouncements on accounting and reporting standards applicable to state and local governments. Governments are also required to follow pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable), that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. Although the District has the option to apply FASB pronouncements issued after that date, the District has elected not to do so.

Cash

Cash consists of a demand deposit account with a financial institution.

Capital Assets and Depreciation

Building improvements, furniture and fixtures and equipment are reported at cost at the date of purchase. The District's policy is to capitalize purchases of \$500 or more and an expected useful life greater than one year. Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of five to fifteen years. When depreciable assets are sold, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any gain or loss is recognized. Costs of maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Compensated Absences

The District's employees are considered employees of the City of Brentwood. The City's personnel policy permits employees to accumulate earned but unused annual (vacation) leave and sick days. Annual leave days may accumulate to a maximum of 30 days for employees with up to 5 years of service, 45 days for employees with up to 20 years of service, and 60 days for employees with 20 or more years of service. There is no maximum number of sick days which may be accumulated. Upon termination, employees receive payment for accumulated annual leave days. The City Manager has the authority to pay employees who resign from employment of the City up to 50% of the accrued sick days up to a maximum payment of 30 days. A liability for accumulated compensated absences is accrued when incurred.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist primarily of amounts due from service suppliers and the Tennessee Emergency Communications Board for subscriber and wireless fee revenues earned but not collected at year end. Accounts receivable are deemed to be fully collectible by management and no allowance for bad debts is considered necessary at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Revenue and Expense Recognition

Subscriber fee revenues and related direct costs are recognized in the period in which monthly subscriber fees are billed by the service supplier. Any subscriber accounts subsequently determined to be uncollectible are charged against revenues at that time. In management's opinion, such reporting does not materially affect the financial statements.

Wireless telephone service providers charge \$1.00 per line monthly, which is then paid to the Tennessee Emergency Communications Board (the "TECB"). In accordance with T.C.A. §7-86-303(d), the TECB shall disburse 25% of such revenue to emergency communications districts based on the proportion of population of that district according to the most recent census. Shared wireless revenues are recognized by the District in the period the surcharge is assessed by the service provider.

Grant revenue is recognized in the period a liability is incurred for eligible expenditures under the terms of the grant.

In October 2006, the TECB approved additional funding from wireless revenues that will be provided annually to the local emergency communications districts. The District's allocable share of such revenues and other operational funding amounted to \$207,911 for 2012 and \$189,153 for 2011, which has been recognized under operating revenues.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the District's financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK - DEPOSITS

Statutes authorize the District to invest in: (1) U. S. Government securities and obligations guaranteed by the U. S. Government; (2) deposit accounts at state and federal chartered banks and savings and loan associations; and (3) the Local Government Investment Pool of the State of Tennessee. The District's cash is held by a financial institution that participates in the bank collateral pool administered by the Treasurer of the State of Tennessee. Deposits in financial institutions are required by State statute to be secured and collateralized by the institutions. The collateral must meet certain requirements and must have a total minimum market value of 105% of the value of the deposits placed in the institutions less the amount protected by federal depository insurance. Collateral requirements are not applicable for financial institutions that participate in the State of Tennessee's collateral pool.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 2 - CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK - DEPOSITS (CONTINUED)

Custodial risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The City, which includes the District, minimizes this risk by requiring full collateralization on all demand deposit accounts, including checking accounts and non-negotiable certificates of deposit, except when the institution issuing the certificate of deposit belongs to the State of Tennessee bank collateral pool. As of June 30, 2012, the District's deposits were fully insured or collateralized.

NOTE 3 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Schedules of changes in capital assets follow for the years ended June 30:

	2012					
	Beginning of			End of		
	Year	Increases	Decreases	Year		
Capital assets not being depreciated:	Ф 470 420	ф <i>570.751</i>	Φ (1.040.19 2)	φ		
Construction in progress	\$ 478,428	\$ 570,754	\$ (1,049,182)	\$ -		
Total capital assets not being depreciated	478,428	570,754	(1,049,182)			
Capital assets being depreciated:						
Building improvements	60,615	259,457	-	320,072		
Furniture and fixtures	5,736	75,175	-	80,911		
Equipment	561,762	967,887		1,529,649		
Total capital assets being depreciated	628,113	1,302,519		1,930,632		
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Building and improvements	(1,010)	(14,690)	-	(15,700)		
Furniture and fixtures	(4,964)	(5,004)	-	(9,968)		
Equipment	(471,628)	(118,522)		(590,150)		
Total accumulated depreciation	(477,602)	(138,216)		(615,818)		
Capital assets, net	\$ 628,939	\$ 1,735,057	\$ (1,049,182)	\$1,314,814		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 3 - CAPITAL ASSETS (CONTINUED)

	2011				
	Beginning of			End of	
	Year	Increases	Decreases	Year_	
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Construction in progress	\$ -	\$ 478,428	\$	\$ 478,428	
Total capital assets not being depreciated		478,428		478,428	
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Building improvements	-	60,615	-	60,615	
Furniture and fixtures	6,480	-	744	5,736	
Equipment	521,320	40,442		561,762	
Total capital assets being depreciated	527,800	101,057	744	628,113	
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Building and improvements	-	(1,010)	-	(1,010)	
Furniture and fixtures	(4,821)	(887)	744	(4,964)	
Equipment	(415,240)	(56,388)		(471,628)	
Total accumulated depreciation	(420,061)	(58,285)	744	(477,602)	
Capital assets, net	\$ 107,739	\$ 521,200	\$ -	\$ 628,939	

NOTE 4 - TAX-EXEMPT STATUS

The District is exempt from federal and state taxation as it is deemed to be a government entity. Accordingly, no income taxes have been provided.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 5 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District maintains insurance coverage through the Tennessee Municipal League Risk Management Pool, covering each of those risks of loss. The TML Pool is a cooperative risk sharing arrangement between local government agencies that works in many ways like a traditional insurer. The District pays a premium, receives coverage, and can make claims against that coverage. The District meets the TML Pool's guidelines and complies with its rules and regulations, including loss control requirements as well as its underwriting standards. Rates of the TML Pool are actuarially projected to provide adequate funding to cover loss reserves and expenses, as well as building contingency reserves. Management believes such coverage is sufficient to preclude any significant uninsured losses to the District. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Beginning with calendar 2010, the City (which includes the District's employees) modified its fully insured employee group health insurance plan to include a high-deductible (\$5,000) and high max out-of-pocket (\$6,500) plan coupled with a City-funded Health Reimbursement Arrangement (HRA). The HRA was implemented to effectively limit the employee deductible and max out-ofpocket to \$1,000 (\$2,000 for dependent coverage). The City established the Health Insurance Fund, which functions as an internal service fund, to account and finance its HRA claims obligations and insurance premiums related to employee health and vision insurance coverage. Effective January 1, 2011, the City terminated its fully insured employee group health insurance plan, and established a partially self-funded insurance plan coupled with a the existing city funded HRA. Under the partially self-funded insurance plan, which is administered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Tennessee, the City is liable through the HRA for up to \$5,500 of the first \$6,500 of individual employee claims with the employee being liable for up to \$1,000 out of pocket. The City then assumes liability for additional individual medical claims up to \$60,000. To help mitigate losses from high-dollar medical claims beyond the HRA combined City and employee liability of \$65,500 and the employee's out of pocket maximum of \$1,000 (total of \$66,500 funded amount), the City purchased catastrophic insurance coverage plans for Specific Stop Loss and Aggregate Stop Loss coverage. Specific Stop Loss coverage limits the City's potential liability for a single catastrophic claim by covering all costs for an individual member once those costs exceed \$60,000 over and above the HRA funded amount and employee out of pocket maximum. Aggregate stop loss coverage caps the City's liability for all claims in the entire plan at an agreed upon dollar amount. In calendar year 2012, the aggregate stop loss threshold is \$1.73 million.

The City continues to carry commercial insurance for other risks of loss, including general liability, property and casualty, and workers' compensation. Settled claims from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 6 - CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the District to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of accounts receivable. Accounts receivable represent concentrations of credit risk to the extent they are receivable from concentrated sources. Receivables consist of unremitted fees paid by telephone service subscribers to telephone service providers.

NOTE 7 - BUDGETS

Prior to May 15 of each year, the Director submits to the District's Board of Commissioners a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following July 1. Prior to June 30, the budget is legally enacted through passage of an ordinance by the Board of Commissioners. The budget prepared is consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

The Director is authorized to transfer budgeted amounts between categories within the District; any revisions that alter the total appropriations for the District must be approved through the passage of an ordinance by the Board of Commissioners. Budget-to-actual financial statements are provided to the Board on a monthly basis.

NOTE 8 - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Plan Description and Contributions Information

Employees of the District are included with the employees of the City of Brentwood in the City's post retirement benefit plan, which is a single-employer defined benefit plan (the "OPEB Plan"). The OPEB Plan provides medical and life insurance benefits to eligible retirees and their spouses. The benefit levels, employee contributions and employer contributions are governed by the City and can be amended by the City.

Membership in the plan consisted of the following (District employees are included in the category general government) at January 1, 2011, the date of the latest actuarial evaluation:

	General Government	Fire/Police	Total
Active employees	126	113	239
Retired employees	9	1	10
Total	135	114	249
Participating employers			1

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 8 - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Benefits Provided

Employees are fully eligible for postretirement medical and life insurance once they reach the age of 55 with 20 years of service with the City. For employees hired prior to July 1, 2005, the City will pay 100 percent and 50 percent of total cost of premiums for retirees and dependents, respectively. For employees hired post July 1, 2005, the City will pay a portion of the cost of premiums based on years of service at time of retirement, and dependent's cost of premiums will be paid entirely by the retiree. The retiree medical plan is assumed to be the primary plan of benefits prior to age 65. For retirees who have reached age 65, were hired prior to July 1, 2005 and retired after July 1, 2002, the City reimburses the cost of a Medicare supplement up to 50% of the City's cost for employee coverage under the group insurance plan.

Funding Policy

The contribution requirements are established and may be amended by the Board of Commissioners. The required contribution is based on an actuarial valuation utilizing the entry age normal method. During 2008, the City prefunded a trust account, administered by ICMA Retirement Corporation, in the amount of \$2,260,000, which included the 2008 annual required contribution of \$598,000, less amounts paid as premium benefits. For fiscal year 2012, the City contributed \$582,210 to the Trust and withdrew \$55,000 to pay benefits. The prefunding will reduce the annual required contribution in future years. All contributions were made by the employer (no contributions by active or retired employees). Employer contributions are calculated as 4.98 percent of covered payroll.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The City's annual other postemployment benefits (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount that is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 8 - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation (Continued)

The ARC represents the level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the City's net OPEB obligation (asset) for the years ended June 30:

		2012	_	2011
Annual required contribution	\$	621,571	\$	659,800
Interest on net OPEB obligation		(107,568)		(107,568)
Adjustment to annual required contribution		68,207		61,309
Annual OPEB cost (expense)		582,210		613,541
Contributions made		(582,210)		(613,541)
Increase (decrease) in net OPEB obligation		-		-
Net OPEB obligation (asset) - beginning of year		(1,749,078)		(1,749,078)
Net OPEB obligation (asset) - end of year	<u>\$</u>	(1,749,078)	\$	(1,749,078)

The District's portion of the annual required contribution and actual contribution made was \$21,255 each year for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for 2012 and the two preceding years were as follows:

Year Ended		Annual	Percentage of Annual		Net OPEB
June 30,	O]	PEB Cost	OPEB Cost Contributed		Obligation
				_	
2010	\$	590,607	100	%	\$ (1,749,078)
2011		613,541	100		(1,749,078)
2012		582,210	100		(1,749,078)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 8 - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Funded Status and Funding Progress

As of January 1, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 50.6 percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$8,260,634 and the actuarial value of assets was \$4,179,023 resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$4,081,611. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$12,472,750 and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 32.7 percent.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedules of funding progress, presented as required supplemental information following the notes to the financial statements, present multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the January 1, 2011 actuarial valuation, the entry age normal method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 6.15 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), which is a blended rate of the expected long-term investment returns on plan assets and on the employer's own investments calculated based on the funded level of the plan at the valuation date, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of nine percent initially, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of five percent after eight years. Both rates include a six percent inflation assumption. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that spread the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a five year period. The UAAL is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll on an open basis. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2012 was twenty-five years.

The OPEB Plan does not issue separate financial statements, and as such, all required disclosures and supplementary information are included as part of the City's annual financial report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 9 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND PLAN

Plan Description

Employees of the District are included with the employees of the City of Brentwood and are members of the Political Subdivision Pension Plan (PSPP), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System (TCRS). TCRS provides retirement benefits as well as death and disability benefits. Benefits are determined by a formula using the member's high five-year average salary and years of service. Members become eligible to retire at the age of 60 with 5 (five) years of service or at any age with 30 years of service. A reduced retirement benefit is available to vested members at the age of 55. Disability benefits are available to active members with five years of service who become disabled and cannot engage in gainful employment. There is no service requirement for disability that is the result of an accident or injury occurring while the member was in the performance of duty. Members joining the system after July 1, 1979 become vested after 5 (five) years of service and members joining prior to July 1, 1979 were vested after 4 (four) years of service. Benefit provisions are established in state statute found in Title 8, Chapter 34-37 of the Tennessee Code Annotated (TCA). State statutes are amended by the Tennessee General Assembly. Political subdivisions such as the City participate in the TCRS as individual entities and are liable for all costs associated with the operation and administration of their plan. improvements are not applicable to a political subdivision unless approved by the chief governing body.

The TCRS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the PSPP. That report may be obtained by writing to Tennessee Treasury Department, Consolidated Retirement System, 10th Floor Andrew Jackson Building, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0230 or can be accessed at www.tn.gov.treasury.tcrs.PS/.

Funding Policy

For eligible employees hired before January 1, 2010, the City adopted a noncontributory retirement plan by assuming employee contributions up to 5% of annual covered payroll.

For eligible employees hired after January 1, 2010, the City adopted a new Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System program that requires a 5% employee payroll contribution toward the cost of the retirement system.

The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate; the rate for the year ending June 30, 2012 was an aggregate of 16.66% of annual covered payroll, which includes public safety employees at 18.39% and all other employees at 14.89%. The contribution requirement of plan members is set by state statute. The contribution requirement for the City is established and may be amended by the TCRS Board of Trustees.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 9 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND PLAN (CONTINUED)

Annual Pension Cost

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the City's annual pension costs to TCRS were equal to the City's required and actual contributions. The District's applicable annual pension costs were \$96,933 in 2012 and \$90,889 in 2011. The required contribution was determined as part of the July 1, 2009 actuarial valuation using the frozen entry age actuarial cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used in the valuation include (a) rate of return on investment of present and future assets of 7.5 percent a year compounded annually, (b) projected 3.0 percent annual rate of inflation, (c) projected salary increases of 4.75 percent (graded) annual rate (no explicit assumption is made regarding the portion attributable to the effects of inflation on salaries), (d) projected 3.5 percent annual increase in the Social Security wage base, and (e) projected post retirement increases of 2.5 percent annually. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effect of short-term volatility in the market value of total investments over a ten-year period. The City's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level dollar amount on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at July 1, 2009 was five years. An actuarial valuation was performed as of July 1, 2011, which established contribution rates effective July 1, 2012.

Trend Information

Year Ended June 30,	Annual Pension Cost (APC)	Percentage of APC Contributed	Net Pension <u>Obligation</u>
2012	\$2,152,535	100.00%	\$0.00
2011	\$1,977,054	100.00%	\$0.00
2010	\$2,164,396	100.00%	\$0.00

Funded Status and Funding Progress

As of July 1, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 96.68% percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$34.14 million, and the actuarial value of assets was \$33.00 million, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$1.13 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$12.82 million, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 8.85%.

The schedules of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, present multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the AALs for benefits.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

NOTE 9 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND PLAN (CONTINUED)

The annual required contribution (ARC) was calculated using the aggregate actuarial cost method. Since the aggregate actuarial cost method does not identify or separately amortize unfunded actuarial liabilities, information about funded status and funding progress has been prepared using the entry age actuarial cost method for that purpose, and this information is intended to serve as a surrogate for the funded status and funding progress of the plan.

(dollar amounts in thousands)

Actuarial Valuation Date	7	Actuarial Value of an Assets (a)	Accı	Actuarial rued Liability (AAL) Entry Age (b)	(nfunded AAL UAAL) (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
July 1, 2011	\$	33,003	\$	34,138	\$	1,135	96.68%	\$ 12,818	8.85%
July 1, 2009	\$	24,111	\$	25,877	\$	1,766	93.18%	\$ 12,952	13.63%
July 1, 2007	\$	19,892	\$	22,095	\$	2,203	90.03%	\$ 11,796	18.68%

NOTE 10 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLANS

Employees of the District are included with the employees of the City of Brentwood in the City's deferred compensation plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 and 401(a). The plans, available to all full-time City employees at their option, permit participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to participants until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency.

The plan's investments are held in trust by ICMA Retirement Corporation and Nationwide Retirement Services.

Upon two years of full-time service, the City matches, on a dollar for dollar basis, a maximum of up to 3% of the employee's base salary. The District's match for the year ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$7,595 (\$7,720 in 2011).

NOTE 11 - MISCELLANEOUS INCOME

During 2012, the ECD received a settlement payment from a service provider resulting from fees not properly charged to certain business subscribers. The settlement payment is reported as non-operating miscellaneous income net of the related legal and other expenses.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS FOR THE CITY OF BRENTWOOD, TENNESSEE

POLITICAL SUBDIVISION PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Actuarial Valuation Date		Actuarial Value of Assets (a)		Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)		Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a)	Fund Rati (a/b	io	Pa	vered yroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)	_
July 1, 2011 July 1, 2009 July 1, 2007	\$ \$ \$	33,003 24,111 19,892	\$ \$ \$	34,138 25,877 22,095	\$ \$ \$	1,135 1,766 2,203	96.68 93.18 90.03	3% \$		12,818 12,952 11,796	8.85% 13.63% 18.68%	

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") requires the plan to prepare a Schedule of Funding Progress using the entry age actuarial cost method.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS AND HERLIE OF FARE OVER CONTRIBUTION

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR THE CITY OF BRENTWOOD, TENNESSEE

OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

				Actuarial						
Actuarial		Actuarial		Accrued						UAAL as a
Valuation		Value of	Liab	oility (AAL) -		Unfunded	Funded			Percentage of
Date	Assets		Unit Credit		A	al (UAAL)	Ratio	Covered Payroll		Covered Payroll
01/01/07	\$	-	\$	5,544,000	\$	5,544,000	0.0%	\$	11,302,574	49.1%
01/01/09	\$	2,776,979	\$	7,535,684	\$	4,758,705	36.9%	\$	12,792,110	37.2%
01/01/11	\$	4,179,023	\$	8,260,634	\$	4,081,611	50.6%	\$	12,472,750	32.7%

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS


Employer Contributions									
		Annual							
Year Ended	F	Required	Percentage						
June 30	Co	ntribution	Contributed						
2010	\$	590,607	100.0	%					
2011		613,541	100.0						
2012		582,210	100.0						



SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

	BUDGETED AMOUNTS				OVER
	ORIGIN		FINAL	ACTUAL	(UNDER)
OPERATING REVENUES Subscriber fees:					
Emergency telephone service charges	\$ 60	5,000	\$ 605,000	\$ 574,033	\$ (30,967)
Tennessee Emergency Communications Board - shared wireless charge	6	0,000	60,000	86,283	26,283
Tennessee Emergency Communications Board - operational funding	18	9,155	189,155	207,911	18,756
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	85	4,155	854,155	868,227	14,072
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES					
Salaries and wages:					
Salaries - dispatchers	52	8,910	523,700	518,549	(5,151)
Salaries - overtime pay		2,110	42,110		(5,300)
Shift differential		1,100	11,475		(2)
Longevity		4,420	4,420		(420)
Employee benefits:		1, 120	1,120	.,000	(120)
Social security	4	4,870	49,705	49,701	(4)
Life insurance		2,665	2,665		(440)
		0,400	81,840		(440)
Medical insurance			3,000		(1,275)
Dental insurance		3,000			
Retirement contributions		6,680	96,935		(2)
Other post-employment benefits		1,255	21,255		-
Supplemental retirement - Section 457 Plan		7,500	7,600		(5)
Sick leave buy-backs		1,000	1,000		(492)
Attendance bonus		1,000	1,000		(750)
Annual leave buy-backs		1,000	1,000	-	(1,000)
Contracted services:					
Audit services		9,700	9,600	8,775	(825)
Mapping/database expenses	1	0,000	10,000	10,000	-
Lease/rental - building and facilities	3	1,800	31,800	31,800	-
Lease/rental - office equipment		0,000	10,000		(6,000)
Maintenance and repairs - communications equipment		0,000	60,000		(28,470)
Other contracted services		0,000	1,720		(1,561)
Supplies and materials:	-	,,,,,,	-,		() /
Office supplies		2,500	2,500	2,233	(267)
Uniforms and shirts		5,500	5,500		(2,599)
Utilities - general telephone		5,000	104,745		(18,711)
		2,300	10,450		(3)
Other supplies and materials		2,300	10,430	10,447	(3)
Other operating expenses:		5 000	5,000	4,144	(856)
Dues and memberships		5,000 2,000	2,000		(125)
Periodical subscriptions		,	,	,	(2,088)
Insurance - workers' compensation		3,145	3,145		
Insurance - liability		1,400	1,530		(6)
Premiums on surety bonds		1,000	1,000		(1,000)
Travel expenses		5,000	5,000	1,294	(3,706)
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES	1,11	0,255	1,111,695	1,030,637	(81,058)
Depreciation	17	0,000	170,000	138,216	(31,784)
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,28	0,255	1,281,695	1,168,853	(112,842)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	0,000	10,000	7,107	(2,893)
Interest income		-	418,700	•	(4,073)
Contributions from primary government	41	8,700	418,700		196,352
Miscellaneous income				196,352	190,332
TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES	42	8,700	428,700	622,159	193,459
	\$	2,600	\$ 1,160	\$ 321,533	\$ 320,373
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER EXPENSES	Ψ	2,000	y 1,100	3 321,333	÷ 520,575





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Mayor and Board of Commissioners Brentwood Emergency Communications District Brentwood, Tennessee

We have audited the financial statements of the Brentwood Emergency Communications District (the "District"), a component unit of the City of Brentwood, Tennessee, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined previously.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management and the State of Tennessee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Nashville, Tennessee December 20, 2012